

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKETNO
_				EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No

Applica (s

09/801,164

Art Unit

xaminer

David Lukton

1653

1653

Bischofberger

	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on a	the cover sheet with the correspo	ondence address
Peri	iod for Reply			
	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	IS SET TO	EXPIRE <u>one</u> MONTH	H(S) FROM
	extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community to the provision of 37 (and the provision of the pr	ication.		,
	the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory			,
	communication. ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Stat				
1)	X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar</u>	7, 2001		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) X Th	is action is	non-final	
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under			as to the ments is
Disp	position of Claims			
4)	X Claim(s) 52			_ is/are pending in the applica
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	Claim(s)			
	Claim(s)			
	Claim(s)			
	X Claims 52			
	lication Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
	The drawing(s) filed on		ejected to by the Examiner.	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on			disapproved
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exi	aminer		
rio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	
6	a) All b) Some* c) None of:			
	1 Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been	received	
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents l	have been	received in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		'	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority	under 35 U S.C. § 119(e)	
ttac	hment(s)			
5)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18)	Interview Summary (PTO 413) Paper No(s)	
6)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1 91	Notice of informal Patent Application (RTQ) i	57
7)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20,	Other	

Serial No. 09/801,164 Art Unit 1653

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect—a disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. A "specie" is a specific compound, with all substituent variables accounted for.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. It claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton. Phone: (703) 308-3213.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800